

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS Address Commission of the Commission of the

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/016,830	12/13/2001	Ryoji Hagiwara	S004-4504	7493	
7590 12/16/2003			EXAMI	EXAMINER	
ADAMS & WILKS			YOUNG, CHRISTOPHER G		
31st Floor 50 Broadway			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
New York, NY 10004			1756	THE DATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PA	

DATE MAILED: 12/16/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•						
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Anti-m Summer	10/016,830	HAGIWARA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Christopher G. Young	1756				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statule, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONET cause the application to become ABANDONET	welly filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. 0.765 U.S.C. 8.1333				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 O	ctober 2003.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.					
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 7-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 7-26 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ access Applicant may not request that any objection to the conference of t	epted or b)□ objected to by the E drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	937 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prioriapplication from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language pro 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic reference was included in the first sentence of the	s have been received. s have been received in Application to documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not receive c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e at sentence of the specification or visional application has been receive c priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	on No d in this National Stage d. e) (to a provisional application) in an Application Data Sheet. eived. and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				

1. This Office Action is responsive to the amendment filed October 20, 2003 wherein the Specification was amended, claims 1-6 were canceled and claims 7-26 were added.

Specification

2. The amendment filed 10-20-2003 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: Redefining the plurality of microscopic regions each having a size corresponding to the diameter of the beam to having a size slightly smaller than a diameter of the particle beam.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/016,830

Art Unit: 1756

4. Claims 7-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. As discussed above, the claims are drawn to regions each having a size slightly smaller than a diameter of the particle beam. This is not enabled by the disclosure as originally filed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The anticipation rejection of record is withdrawn in view of the amended scope.
However, after cancellation of the new matter it is possible that a new prior art rejection may be issued in the application.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Application/Control Number: 10/016,830

Art Unit: 1756

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Page 4

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Christopher G. Young whose telephone number is 703-308-2984.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Mark Huff can be reached on 703-308-2464. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Christopher G. Your

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1756

cgy